

As the late Army Gen. Creighton Abrams, Vietnam-era Chief of Staff used to say, "Fighting in the name of peace is like seeking virtue in a bordello."

It is time to start over, before a bad situation gets worse. The deployment of land troops for combat—daintily described by Mrs. Albright as a "nonpermissive environment"—will not bring peace to a Kosovo that no longer exists. Why not follow the president's lead, and do something to make everyone feel better about the situation?

There are lots of creative ways to achieve the president's stated goals—diversity, community and belonging—without passing bad legislation or needlessly putting combat soldiers at risk. For starters, Mr. Clinton's Hollywood friends could stage a remake of that memorable soft-drink commercial—the one featuring a hillside of children folk-singing about apple trees, honey bees, and buying the world a Coke.

With help, Balkan refugees could participate in the production. Perhaps the International Monetary Fund could take the \$5 billion loan that Russian Prime Minister Yevgeny Primakov recently passed up, and divert it to Albania and other neighboring countries that are willing to provide clean clothes, food, and safe, temporary housing.

Forget the usual presidential photo-ops with deployed soldiers in fatigues. Let Bill Clinton risk his own neck for a change. To burnish his legacy, he could fly into Belgrade on an Apache helicopter, and play the saxophone at one of those rock concerts. Even with bullet-proof glass, it would make a great picture for the history books—just like the ones of John F. Kennedy in Berlin and Ronald Reagan at the Wall.

Then the belligerent Balkan leaders could be flown back to the White House for some friendly attitude adjustment. They could even shake hands in front of a beaming president, arms outstretched in a striking freeze frame that would make everyone feel good. So all to-

gether now . . . let's join hands, light a candle, and sing "Kumbaya." We can win the peace war in Kosovo. Just keep our soldiers out of it.

#### TAX DEDUCTION FAIRNESS ACT OF 1999

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Washington (Mr. BAIRD) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. BAIRD. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to introduce legislation that will help restore tax fairness to millions of people in my home State of Washington and in other States throughout this great Nation. The problem, Mr. Speaker, is the lack of a deduction for sales taxes in the current tax code. Although the government allows tax deductions for a number of things, State and local income taxes, property taxes, self-employment taxes and others, one category is noticeably missing and that is sales tax. Today and every year at this time, taxpayers send their tax returns to the IRS. It is a ritual that all Americans have become accustomed to. It is often frustrating. But we do it because we have to uphold our duties as a citizen. But that ritual brings added frustration for taxpayers in my State. A taxpayer in my State who has identical income and expenses to someone in another State should be able to deduct the amount they pay in State income tax, but that is not the case in Washington. We have no income tax, and we are not allowed to deduct our State sales taxes.

Folks in my State have the same amount of Federal income taxes withheld from their paychecks, but when it comes time to itemize their returns,

they can only deduct nothing, because they have no income tax and they are not allowed to deduct their sales tax. It is not that we pay less in taxes. On the contrary, we are in the top quarter of States in the amount of our personal income that goes to taxes. But thanks to the change in the tax code in 1986 when lawmakers decided to remove the deduction for sales taxes, people in Washington State were shortchanged.

Let me ask this simple question. Should residents of Washington have to pay hundreds more to the Federal treasury than those who live in other States, including States right across the river? Does it make sense for the Federal Government to dictate to States how they should structure their tax system? I would assert that the answer is clearly no. Federal taxes should be levied on all of our Nation's citizens in a fair and equitable manner, not in a way that gives preference to some who happen to live in one State with an income tax while penalizing residents in States with sales taxes.

That is why today I am introducing legislation to correct this inequity. My bill, the Tax Deduction Fairness Act of 1999, would reinstate the sales tax deduction and direct the IRS to develop tables of average sales tax liabilities for taxpayers in every State. It would then give the taxpayer an option, to deduct either the State income tax or their State sales taxes paid in the previous year.

Frankly, this is nothing new. Before 1986, taxpayers were allowed to use simple tables to deduct their sales tax.

Mr. Speaker, I enter into the RECORD a sample of the form that was used in 1986.

# 1986 Optional State Sales Tax Tables

If you kept records that show you paid more sales tax than the table for your state indicates, you may claim the higher amount on Schedule A, line 8a.

Your itemized deduction for general sales tax paid can be estimated from these tables plus any qualifying sales taxes paid on the items listed on page 20.

To use the tables:

**Step 1**—Figure your total available income. Use the total of the amount on Form 1040, line 33, and nontaxable income such as veterans' benefits, workers' compensation, nontaxable part of unemployment compensation or long-term capital gains (however, do not include gains that are nontaxable because they were

reinvested in similar property, such as a principal residence), nontaxable part of social security and railroad retirement benefits, dividend exclusion, deduction for a married couple when both work, and public assistance payments.

**Step 2**—Count the number of exemptions for you and your family. Do not count exemptions claimed for being 65 or over or blind as part of your family size.

**Step 3**—Find the income line for your state on the tables and read across to find the amount of sales tax for your family size.

Income	Family size						Family size						Family size						Family size						Family size						Family size						
	1 2 3 4 5 Over						1 2 3 4 5 Over						1 2 3 4 5 Over						1 2 3 4 5 Over						1 2 3 4 5 Over						1 2 3 4 5 Over						
	Alabama <sup>1</sup>						Arizona <sup>2</sup>						Arkansas <sup>1</sup>						California <sup>3</sup>						Colorado <sup>2</sup>						Connecticut <sup>4</sup>						
\$0 \$10,000	128	153	171	184	195	211	143	158	167	174	179	187	151	181	201	217	230	249	167	187	200	210	218	229	43	50	55	58	61	66	141	146	150	153	154	157	
10,000 15,000	156	187	208	224	238	258	178	196	208	216	223	233	184	220	244	263	279	302	206	232	248	260	270	284	55	64	70	74	78	83	179	186	191	194	197	200	
15,000 20,000	191	229	255	275	292	316	223	245	260	270	279	291	224	268	298	321	340	368	257	288	308	324	336	353	71	82	90	95	100	107	229	239	244	249	252	256	
20,000 25,000	223	267	297	320	339	368	263	290	306	319	329	343	260	311	345	372	394	427	303	340	363	381	396	416	85	99	108	115	120	128	276	287	294	299	303	308	
25,000 30,000	251	301	335	361	383	415	300	331	350	364	376	392	293	350	389	419	440	480	345	387	414	434	451	474	98	114	125	133	139	149	320	333	341	347	351	357	
30,000 35,000	278	334	371	400	424	460	336	370	391	407	420	438	323	386	429	462	490	530	385	432	462	484	503	529	111	129	141	150	157	168	362	376	385	392	397	404	
35,000 40,000	303	364	404	436	462	501	369	406	430	447	461	482	351	420	467	503	533	577	422	474	507	532	552	581	124	143	157	167	175	187	402	418	428	435	441	449	
40,000 45,000	327	392	436	470	499	541	401	441	467	486	501	523	378	453	503	541	574	621	458	514	550	577	599	630	135	157	172	183	192	205	440	458	467	477	483	492	
45,000 50,000	350	420	467	503	534	578	431	475	503	523	540	563	404	483	537	578	612	663	493	553	591	620	643	677	147	171	186	198	208	222	478	497	509	518	525	534	
50,000 60,000	382	459	510	550	583	632	475	523	554	576	595	621	440	527	585	630	668	723	542	608	650	682	708	745	164	190	207	221	231	247	532	554	567	577	584	595	
60,000 70,000	423	507	564	608	645	699	531	585	619	644	664	693	486	581	646	696	737	798	604	678	725	761	789	831	185	215	234	249	262	279	602	626	641	652	660	672	
70,000 80,000	461	553	615	663	703	762	584	643	680	708	730	762	529	633	703	757	802	868	663	744	796	835	866	912	205	238	260	277	290	310	668	696	712	724	734	747	
80,000 90,000	498	597	664	716	759	822	634	698	739	769	793	828	569	681	757	815	863	935	720	807	864	906	940	989	225	261	285	303	318	340	733	763	781	794	804	819	
90,000 100,000	532	638	710	765	812	880	682	752	795	828	854	891	608	727	808	870	922	998	774	868	928	974	1011	1064	244	284	309	329	345	369	795	828	847	862	873	889	
100,000 or more	566	678	754	813	862	935	729	803	850	884	912	952	645	772	852	923	978	1059	826	926	991	1039	1079	1135	263	305	333	354	372	397	856	891	912	927	939	956	
Income		District of Columbia						Florida <sup>1</sup>						Georgia <sup>1</sup>						Hawaii						Idaho						Illinois <sup>5</sup>					
\$0 \$10,000	125	147	162	174	183	197	157	174	184	192	198	207	130	158	176	191	203	221	230	258	277	291	302	318	149	179	200	216	230	250	180	204	220	232	242	256	
10,000 15,000	155	183	201	215	227	244	197	217	230	240	248	259	156	189	212	229	244	265	274	308	330	347	360	379	182	219	244	264	280	304	221	251	270	285	297	314	
15,000 20,000	191	227	250	268	283	304	247	273	289	301	311	325	188	228	255	276	294	320	288	326	349	363	379	403	223	268	299	323	343	373	272	309	333	351	366	387	
20,000 25,000	227	268	295	316	333	358	293	324	343	357	369	385	217	263	294	318	338	368	376	423	453	475	494	520	259	312	348	377	400	434	318	362	390	411	428	453	
25,000 30,000	259	306	337	360	380	409	336	371	393	409	423	442	242	294	328	356	378	412	419	471	504	530	550	580	293	353	393	425	451	490	361	410	442	466	485	514	
30,000 35,000	289	341	375	402	424	456	376	415	440	459	473	495	266	322	360	390	415	452	458	515	552	579	602	634	324	390	435	470	499	543	400	455	490	519	539	570	
35,000 40,000	318	374	412	441	465	500	415	458	485	505	522	545	288	349	390	423	450	489	494	556	596	626	650	685	353	426	475	513	545	592	438	497	536	565	589	623	
40,000 45,000	345	406	447	479	505	543	451	498	528	550	568	593	309	374	419	453	482	525	529	595	637	669	695	732	381	459	512	553	588	638	473	538	580	611	637	674	
45,000 50,000	371	437	481	515	543	584	487	537	569	593	612	640	329	398	445	482	513	558	561	631	676	710	738	777	407	491	548	592	628	683	507	577	621	655	683	722	
50,000 60,000	408	481	529	567	598	643	538	594	629	655	677	707	357	432	483	523	557	606	607	683	732	768	798	841	445	536	598	647	687	746	556	632	681	718	748	792	
60,000 70,000	455	536	590	632	666	717	602	665	704	734	758	792	392	474	530	574	611	665	664	747	800	841	873	920	492	594	662	715	760	825	617	701	756	797	830	879	
70,000 80,000	499	589	648	694	732	787	664	733	776	809	835	873	424	514	570	622	662	720	717	807	864	908	943	993	537	647	722	780	828	900	675	767	826	871	908	961	
80,000 90,000	542	639	703	753	794	854	723	798	845	881	909	950	455	551	616	667	709	772	767	863	925	971	1008	1062	579	698	779	841	894	971	729	829	893	942	981	1039	
90,000 100,000	583	687	756	810	854	919	779	860	911	950	980	1024	484	586	655	710	755	822	814	916	981	1031	1070	1128	620	747	833	900	956	1033	782	888	957	1009	1052	1113	
100,000 or more	622	733	808	865	912	981	834	921	975	1016	1049	1096	512	620	693	750	798	869	859	967	1036	1087	1129	1190	658	793	885	956	1015	1103	832	946	1019	1074	1120	1185	
Income		Indiana						Iowa <sup>1</sup>						Kansas <sup>1</sup>						Kentucky						Louisiana <sup>6</sup>						Maine <sup>7</sup>					
\$0 \$10,000	169	191	206	217	225	238	134	147	155	161	166	173	118	142	158	171	182	197	118	127	132	136	139	144	105	113	117	121	124	128	117	127	133	137	141	146	
10,000 15,000	208	236	253	267	277	293	167																														

## 1986 Optional State Sales Tax Tables—Continued

Income	Family size						Family size						Family size						Family size						Family size					
	Over						Over						Over						Over						Over					
	At least	But less than	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28
Nebraska <sup>1</sup>																														
\$0	\$10,000	102	112	119	125	129	135	141	147	153	159	165	171	177	183	189	195	201	207	213	219	225	231	237	243	249	255	261	267	273
10,000	15,000	126	140	148	155	160	167	173	179	185	191	197	203	209	215	221	227	233	239	245	251	257	263	269	275	281	287	293	299	305
15,000	20,000	157	174	185	193	199	209	215	221	227	233	239	245	251	257	263	269	275	281	287	293	299	305	311	317	323	329	335	341	347
20,000	25,000	186	206	218	228	235	246	253	261	272	281	288	297	306	315	324	333	342	351	360	369	378	387	396	405	414	423	432	441	450
25,000	30,000	212	235	249	260	269	281	289	298	307	316	325	334	343	352	361	370	379	388	397	406	415	424	433	442	451	460	469	478	487
30,000	35,000	237	262	278	290	300	314	322	331	340	349	358	367	376	385	394	403	412	421	430	439	448	457	466	475	484	493	502	511	520
35,000	40,000	260	288	306	319	330	345	353	362	371	380	389	398	407	416	425	434	443	452	461	470	479	488	497	506	515	524	533	542	551
40,000	45,000	282	313	332	346	358	374	382	391	400	409	418	427	436	445	454	463	472	481	490	499	508	517	526	535	544	553	562	571	580
45,000	50,000	304	336	357	373	385	403	411	420	429	438	447	456	465	474	483	492	501	510	519	528	537	546	555	564	573	582	591	600	609
50,000	60,000	334	371	393	410	424	444	452	461	470	479	488	497	506	515	524	533	542	551	560	569	578	587	596	605	614	623	632	641	650
60,000	70,000	373	414	439	458	473	495	503	512	521	530	539	548	557	566	575	584	593	602	611	620	629	638	647	656	665	674	683	692	701
70,000	80,000	410	454	482	503	520	544	552	561	570	579	588	597	606	615	624	633	642	651	660	669	678	687	696	705	714	723	732	741	750
80,000	90,000	446	494	524	547	565	591	600	609	618	627	636	645	654	663	672	681	690	699	708	717	726	735	744	753	762	771	780	789	798
90,000	100,000	479	531	564	588	608	636	646	655	664	673	682	691	700	709	718	727	736	745	754	763	772	781	790	799	808	817	826	835	844
100,000	or more	512	567	602	628	649	679	689	698	707	716	725	734	743	752	761	770	779	788	797	806	815	824	833	842	851	860	869	878	887
Nevada <sup>1</sup>																														
\$0	\$10,000	122	132	138	142	145	150	155	160	165	170	175	180	185	190	195	200	205	210	215	220	225	230	235	240	245	250	255	260	265
10,000	15,000	156	168	176	181	185	192	197	202	207	212	217	222	227	232	237	242	247	252	257	262	267	272	277	282	287	292	297	302	307
15,000	20,000	201	216	226	233	238	246	251	256	261	266	271	276	281	286	291	296	301	306	311	316	321	326	331	336	341	346	351	356	361
20,000	25,000	243	261	272	281	288	297	304	311	318	325	332	339	346	353	360	367	374	381	388	395	402	409	416	423	430	437	444	451	458
25,000	30,000	282	303	316	326	334	345	352	360	368	376	384	392	400	408	416	424	432	440	448	456	464	472	480	488	496	504	512	520	528
30,000	35,000	319	343	358	369	378	391	400	408	416	424	432	440	448	456	464	472	480	488	496	504	512	520	528	536	544	552	560	568	576
35,000	40,000	355	382	399	411	421	435	444	452	460	468	476	484	492	500	508	516	524	532	540	548	556	564	572	580	588	596	604	612	620
40,000	45,000	390	419	438	451	462	477	486	494	502	510	518	526	534	542	550	558	566	574	582	590	598	606	614	622	630	638	646	654	662
45,000	50,000	424	456	476	490	502	519	528	536	544	552	560	568	576	584	592	600	608	616	624	632	640	648	656	664	672	680	688	696	704
50,000	60,000	473	508	531	547	560	578	588	596	604	612	620	628	636	644	652	660	668	676	684	692	700	708	716	724	732	740	748	756	764
60,000	70,000	535	576	601	620	634	655	665	673	681	689	697	705	713	721	729	737	745	753	761	769	777	785	793	801	809	817	825	833	841
70,000	80,000	596	641	669	689	706	729	739	747	755	763	771	779	787	795	803	811	819	827	835	843	851	859	867	875	883	891	899	907	915
80,000	90,000	654	703	734	757	775	800	811	819	827	835	843	851	859	867	875	883	891	899	907	915	923	931	939	947	955	963	971	979	987
90,000	100,000	710	764	798	822	842	870	881	889	897	905	913	921	929	937	945	953	961	969	977	985	993	1001	1009	1017	1025	1033	1041	1049	1057
100,000	or more	765	824	860	886	907	937	948	956	964	972	980	988	996	1004	1012	1020	1028	1036	1044	1052	1060	1068	1076	1084	1092	1100	1108	1116	1124
New Jersey																														
\$0	\$10,000	106	110	112	113	114	116	117	118	119	120	121	122	123	124	125	126	127	128	129	130	131	132	133	134	135	136	137	138	139
10,000	15,000	136	142	145	147	149	151	152	153	154	155	156	157	158	159	160	161	162	163	164	165	166	167	168	169	170	171	172	173	174
15,000	20,000	168	180	186	190	192	194	195	196	197	198	199	200	201	202	203	204	205	206	207	208	209	210	211	212	213	214	215	216	217
20,000	25,000	220	227	231	235	237	240	241	242	243	244	245	246	247	248	249	250	251	252	253	254	255	256	257	258	259	260	261	262	263
25,000	30,000	258	266	271	275	278	282	283	284	285	286	287	288	289	290	291	292	293	294	295	296	297	298	299	300	301	302	303	304	305
30,000	35,000	295	304	310	314	317	322	323	324	325	326	327	328	329	330	331	332	333	334	335	336	337	338	339	340	341	342	343	344	345
35,000	40,000	330	341	347	352	356	361	362	363	364	365	366	367	368	369	370	371	372	373	374	375	376	377	378	379	380	381	382	383	384
40,000	45,000	364	376	384	389	393	398	400	401	402	403	404	405	406	407	408	409	410	411	412	413	414	415	416	417	418	419	420	421	422
45,000	50,000	398	411	419	425	429	435	437	438	439	440	441	442	443	444	445	446	447	448	449	450	451	452	453	454	455	456	457	458	459
50,000	60,000	447	462	471	477	482	489	491	492	493	494	495	496	497	498	499	500	501	50											

*April 15, 1999*

CONGRESSIONAL RECORD—HOUSE

**6621**

Critics might suggest this would make the tax code more complex. I am the last to want to make the tax code more complex and in fact I will work vigorously to simplify that code. But the bill I am introducing today does not complicate the tax code. It adds one very simple line to one simple form already filled out by a taxpayer itemizing his or her deductions. Adding that line will save our taxpayers hundreds of dollars every year. For clarity, I will submit that Schedule A for the RECORD as well.

**SCHEDULES A&B**  
**(Form 1040)**Department of the Treasury  
Internal Revenue Service (99)**Schedule A—Itemized Deductions**

(Schedule B is on back)

▶ **Attach to Form 1040.** ▶ **See Instructions for Schedules A and B (Form 1040).**

OMB No. 1545-0074

**1998**Attachment  
Sequence No. **07**

Name(s) shown on Form 1040

Your social security number

**Medical  
and  
Dental  
Expenses****Caution:** Do not include expenses reimbursed or paid by others.**1** Medical and dental expenses (see page A-1) . . . . .**2** Enter amount from Form 1040, line 34, **2** . . . . .**3** Multiply line 2 above by 7.5% (.075) . . . . .**4** Subtract line 3 from line 1. If line 3 is more than line 1, enter -0- . . . . .**Taxes You  
Paid**(See  
page A-2.)**5** State and local income taxes . . . . .**6** Real estate taxes (see page A-2) . . . . .**7** Personal property taxes . . . . .**8** Other taxes. List type and amount ▶ . . . . .**9** Add lines 5 through 8 . . . . .**Interest  
You Paid**(See  
page A-3.)**10** Home mortgage interest and points reported to you on Form 1098 . . . . .**11** Home mortgage interest not reported to you on Form 1098. If paid to the person from whom you bought the home, see page A-3 and show that person's name, identifying no., and address ▶ . . . . .**Note:**  
Personal  
interest is  
not  
deductible.**12** Points not reported to you on Form 1098. See page A-3 for special rules . . . . .**13** Investment interest. Attach Form 4952 if required. (See page A-3.) . . . . .**14** Add lines 10 through 13 . . . . .**Gifts to  
Charity**If you made a  
gift and got a  
benefit for it,  
see page A-4.**15** Gifts by cash or check. If you made any gift of \$250 or more, see page A-4 . . . . .**16** Other than by cash or check. If any gift of \$250 or more, see page A-4. You **MUST** attach Form 8283 if over \$500 . . . . .**17** Carryover from prior year . . . . .**18** Add lines 15 through 17 . . . . .**Casualty and  
Theft Losses****19** Casualty or theft loss(es). Attach Form 4684. (See page A-5.) . . . . .**Job Expenses  
and Most  
Other  
Miscellaneous  
Deductions**(See  
page A-6 for  
expenses to  
deduct here.)**20** Unreimbursed employee expenses—job travel, union dues, job education, etc. You **MUST** attach Form 2106 or 2106-EZ if required. (See page A-5.) ▶ . . . . .**21** Tax preparation fees . . . . .**22** Other expenses—investment, safe deposit box, etc. List type and amount ▶ . . . . .**23** Add lines 20 through 22 . . . . .**24** Enter amount from Form 1040, line 34, **24** . . . . .**25** Multiply line 24 above by 2% (.02) . . . . .**26** Subtract line 25 from line 23. If line 25 is more than line 23, enter -0- . . . . .**Other  
Miscellaneous  
Deductions****27** Other—from list on page A-6. List type and amount ▶ . . . . .**Total  
Itemized  
Deductions****28** Is Form 1040, line 34, over \$124,500 (over \$62,250 if married filing separately)?**NO.** Your deduction is not limited. Add the amounts in the far right column for lines 4 through 27. Also, enter on Form 1040, line 36, the **larger** of this amount or your standard deduction.**YES.** Your deduction may be limited. See page A-6 for the amount to enter.



If you look simply at line 5 of Schedule A, you see where people who pay income taxes to their State can deduct that, and you will see there is no line for Washington State taxpayers or taxpayers in similar States to deduct their sales tax.

This is not a complicated bill. It is a very simple bill, it is a fair bill and I would urge my colleagues to support it. We have an obligation to treat citizens fairly at the Federal level. That is why I am here, to fight for simple fairness.

This is the second time I have stood here in this well in less than a month to sponsor legislation that will protect our citizens from being subjected to unfair taxation. I will come back to the well of this House again and again until we achieve that standard.

I hope that my colleagues will see the wisdom of this fair proposal and that we can take swift action to restore this common-sense option. I invite them to join me in this effort for the simple reason that it is the right thing to do.

#### ON NATIONAL SECURITY

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Washington (Mr. NETHERCUTT) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. NETHERCUTT. Mr. Speaker, I rise this afternoon out of concern for the State of America's national security. I do not want to speak directly to the ongoing operations in Kosovo today, although I am deeply troubled by the enormous uncertainties that seem to be the consequence of a poorly planned policy. Instead, I want to address the consequences of Kosovo on the U.S. military presence worldwide. I believe we are facing a period of unacceptable risk.

Our armed forces are spread across the globe, from South Korea to Latin America. We are engaged in areas that are clearly essential to American security and in areas that are clearly tangential to our security. We are engaged in what are essentially two air wars on two continents at the same time to which we are asking combat engineers to devote themselves to building roads and bridges. We are deterring invasion and we are garrisoning in support of peace agreements.

What we must consider is whether we are doing too much and we spread too thin. Historically we have been warned of the dangers of "imperial overstretch." Unfortunately, I have fears that we are reaching such a point today. I do not want to call for retrenchment or retreat, but we must ask if we have gone too far and if we have asked too much of the armed forces. If we have, it is the job of Congress and the administration to work together to identify solutions.

In 1997, the Quadrennial Defense Review reaffirmed the requirement that

the U.S. must be prepared to fight two nearly simultaneously major theater wars while also staying ready for lesser contingencies. I have argued in Congress that the available funding for the Department of Defense has been inadequate to meet those requirements.

When the United States fought the 1991 Persian Gulf War, we had about 3.2 million soldiers in the active and reserve components. Ten years later, today, we have 900,000 fewer men and women in uniform.

□ 1645

The Army, which has been tasked with the responsibility of maintaining the majority of our overseas presence, has seen its active duty end strength fall by some 40 percent since 1991. Today we maintain as a matter of national strategy 100,000 troops in Asia and another 100,000 troops in Europe. We now have more than 20,000 personnel actively engaged in Operation Allied Force, and nearly 40,000 personnel are engaged in an astonishing 20 other operations around the world today, and the situation today varies only slightly from the breakneck operational pace since the Persian Gulf War. A recent Congressional Research Service report counts 28 different contingency operations from 1991 until now at a cost of nearly \$18 billion. The President has committed our resources to these operations.

The Air Mobility Command Base in my hometown of Spokane at Fairchild is an example of this extraordinary intensive operational tempo. Fairchild is kept very busy supporting KC-135 aerial refueling tankers from 16 different locations around the world. Ninety-seven percent of the total crew force from the 92nd Airlift Wing is deployed today.

We are trying to maintain this level of international presence with increasingly ancient equipment. The KC-135's based at Fairchild have an average age of 37 years. There is no planning for replacement largely because there are no funds available. The B-52s, which were also once based at Fairchild, are slightly older, yet the Air Force intends to keep them in the inventory until 2040. No replacement is in sight, another victim of dramatically smaller defense budgets. Despite the intensive operational pace, defense spending has fallen 30 percent from Fiscal Year 1991 levels and 40 percent from Fiscal Year 1985 levels.

As we overcommit our forces to tangential operations around the globe, the risk increases. Troops deployed in Haiti cannot immediately support missions in Korea, and troops trained to keep the peace in Bosnia are not combat ready if they are called upon to defend Kuwait.

A rubber band can only be stretched so far before it breaks, and I fear we are nearing that point. Mr. Milosevic

called the Clinton administration's bluff in Kosovo, and 3 weeks ago American forces were pitched into a war we had not planned for and lacked the resources to immediately support. What would formerly have been considered a lesser contingency has now tied down a significant number of our conventional combat power.

General Clark's recent request for reinforcements is for a total of 800 planes in the region, tying up nearly seven combat air wings out of a total of 20 in Europe. Our most important assets are committed. We have heavily taxed our available airlift. It is all tied up with supporting our forces and the refugees in Kosovo. There is no carrier battle group providing coverage in Northeast Asia because of the need to support the Balkan mission. We have nearly expended all available air launched cruise missiles, and both the Air Force and the Navy have submitted emergency requests to replenish depleted stores.

Now it looks like the President is going to be calling up the Reserves to support this mission, the first call-up since the Persian Gulf War. Can we sustain this pace? It is very questionable. We must fund it if we are going to sustain it.

The services have presented the National Security Appropriations Subcommittee a list of unfunded requirements that amounts to over \$7 million a year, and these funds are needed just to meet the military's most critical needs, not considering any of the shortfalls that have emerged in the last few weeks. This is a serious situation and supplemental funding should include not just the costs of the operation, but also the critical funds that the military needs to step back from the brink to which it has been pushed. We must reverse continued deterioration of our Armed Forces.

#### FEDERAL EMPLOYEES GROUP LONG-TERM CARE INSURANCE ACT OF 1999

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. SHIMKUS). Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Maryland (Mr. CUMMINGS) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. CUMMINGS. Mr. Speaker, the provision of long-term care insurance coverage to Federal employees is an important priority for me as ranking member of the Subcommittee on Civil Service. On January 6, I introduced H.R. 110, the Federal Employees Group Long-Term Care Insurance Act of 1999. My bill is one of four elements of the comprehensive long-term care package proposed earlier this year by President Clinton.

H.R. 110 would authorize the Office of Personnel Management to purchase a policy or policies from one or more qualified private sector contractors to make long-term care insurance available to Federal employees, retirees and eligible family members at group rates. Coverage would be paid for entirely by those who elect it.